3.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/006,187	HUNTER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Terrence R. Till	1744
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) <u>Terrence R. Till</u> .	(3)	·
(2) <u>N. Alexander Nolte</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 January 2004	Time: <u>3:10pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
	•	
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:		
rejection(s) discussed.		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEI In figure 6, as currently amended, the reference character leading 611	RAL NATURE OF WHAT Was to the left si	VAS DISCUSSED: de will be changed from "601" to
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	e examiner will provide a w	ritten summary of the substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	by the examiner appears	in Part II above.
$\Omega \alpha$		
Janua Mill		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)